LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT 1 STEVE COOLEY District Attorney of Los Angeles County DANIEL J. WRIGHT, SBN 129309 AUG 3 1 2011 2 **Deputy District Attorney** 3 Consumer Protection Division **Environmental Law Section** 4 201 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012 5 Telephone: (213) 580-3209 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 The People of the State of California 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CASE NO. BC458943 CALIFORNIA, 12 STIPULATED Plaintiff, JUDGMENT AND ORDER 13 VS. **PURSUANT TO TERMS OF** 14 STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT SA RECYCLING, LLC a 15 Delaware Limited Liability Corporation and SIMSMETAL WEST LLC, a 16 Delaware Limited Liability Corporation, 17 Defendants. 18 19 This Stipulated Final Judgment ("Stipulated Judgment") is entered into by 20 and between the People of the State of California and SA RECYCLING, LLC a Delaware 21 Limited Liability Corporation and SIMSMETAL WEST LLC, a Delaware Limited 22 Liability Corporation, Defendants. ("Parties") In a document entitled "First Amended 23 Consent Agreement and Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment," the People of the State of 24 California and SA RECYCLING, LLC a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation and 25 SIMSMETAL WEST LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation, Defendants. 26 变 27 ("Parties"), the Parties have stipulated in a writing signed by the Parties for settlement of this matter. The Parties hereby stipulate that judgment enter pursuant to the terms of the

JUDGMENT

	'		
1	First Amended Consent Agreement and Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment. On the		
2	Parties' motion, the Court has reviewed the proposed settlement and finds it to be fair and		
3	in the public interest.		
4	The Court hereby enters judgment pursuant to the terms of the settlement as		
5	set forth in the Parties' First Amended Consent Agreement and Stipulation for Entry of		
6	Final Judgment, a full and complete copy of which is attached to, and incorporated in, this		
7	Judgment of the Court. The Court retains jurisdiction to interpret and enforce this Judgment		
8	until performance in full of its terms.		
9			
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.		
12	Dated: 8 3 1 , 2011 (All Ulcitude) Judge of the Superior Court		
13	Los Angeles County Superior Court		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

1 2 3 4 5	STEVE COOLEY District Attorney of Los Angeles County DANIEL J. WRIGHT, SBN 129309 Deputy District Attorney Consumer Protection Division Environmental Law Section 201 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 580-3209			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
7	The People of the State of California			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10	·			
11	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	CASE NO. BC458943		
12	CALIFORNIA,	FIRST AMENDED CONSENT		
13	Plaintiff,	AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF		
14	VS.	FINAL JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER		
15	SA RECYCLING, LLC a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation			
16	and SIMSMETAL WEST LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation,			
17	Defendants.			
18		,		
19				
20	This Stipulation for Entry of Final Jud	dgment on Consent ("First Amended		
21	Consent Judgment") is entered between Plaintiff, The People of the State of California			
22	(the "People"), appearing through their couns	sel of record Steve Cooley, District Attorney		
23	of the County of Los Angeles, and Daniel J.	Wright, Deputy District Attorney,		
24	Environmental Law Section, and Defendants	, SA Recycling, LLC ("SA Recycling") and		
25	Simsmetal West LLC ("Sims") (hereafter col	lectively "Defendants" and individually		
26	"Defendant"), appearing by and through their	r counsel of record, Paul, Hastings, Janofsky		
27	& Walker LLP, by Thomas A. Zaccaro and R	Robert P. Hoffman. The People and		
``.) (0	1			

FIRST AMENDED CONSENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Defendants have agreed to settle this matter without litigation and stipulate to entry of judgment in accordance withon the terms set forth below.

INTRODUCTION

On August 20, 2008, representatives of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") and the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office executed a search warrant ("Search Warrant") at the SA Recycling facilities located on Terminal Island in Los Angeles and in Anaheim, California. The Search Warrant was issued based on allegations of violations of Chapter 6.5 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and Chapter 3 of Part 4 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code.

During the searches, law enforcement representatives took samples of various materials and seized documents and records, including privileged documents and records. Following the execution of the Search Warrant, representatives of SA Recycling cooperated with the District Attorney related to the matters resolved by this Consent Agreement. SA Recycling has worked proactively to design a new air pollution control system ("APCS") for the Terminal Island facility that significantly reduces air emissions from the facility's auto shredding operations. SA Recycling represents that it intends to work closely with state and local regulators to address compliance issues related to its operations.

Concurrent with the filing of the Complaint in this matter, the People and Defendants are filing this Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment on Consent. The People and Defendants have agreed to settle this matter without litigation pursuant to the terms of this First Amended Consent Judgment for purposes of furthering the public interest.

,

FINAL JUDGMENT ON CONSENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

1. JURISDICTION

The Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, has subject matter jurisdiction of the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the parties hereto. Defendants waive their rights to a hearing and appeal in this matter.

2. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

The People and Defendants enter into this First Amended Consent Judgment pursuant to a compromise and settlement of disputed claims for purposes of furthering the public interest. The People believe that the resolution embodied in this First Amended Consent Judgment is fair and reasonable and fulfills the People's enforcement objectives; that except as provided in this First Amended Consent Judgment, no further action is warranted concerning the allegations contained in the Complaint; and that entry of this First Amended Consent Judgment is in the best interest of the public. Defendants agree that this First Amended Consent Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the Search Warrant and the Complaint. This First Amended Consent Judgment is not an admission by Defendants regarding any issue of law or fact in this action or of any violation of law, as alleged in the Search Warrant or the Complaint.

3. SETTLEMENT TERMS AND COSTS

(a) As set forth in Section 4, SA Recycling shall pay a total of \$433,640 for reimbursement of agency investigatory costs, to be allocated and paid as set forth herein. SA Recycling shall be responsible for distribution of the payments required under this First Amended Consent Judgment to the organizations specified to receive funding in Section 4. In the event that payment is not accepted by any of the identified recipients or dispersing organizations identified in Sections 4(d) through (l), an alternative payment shall be shall be determined by subsequent written agreement between SA Recycling and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office.

- (b) Within one year after entry of the Court's order approving of this First Amended Consent Judgment, SA Recycling agrees to install an APCS to control emissions from the hammer mill shredder at its Terminal Island facility for a total cost, including monies identified to the People as already spent since August 2008, of not less than \$1,700,000.00. The APCS shall be designed to have a control efficiency of no less than 95% for PM10 and shall include a regenerative thermal oxidizer (RTO) approved by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (District).
- (c) The cost for the construction and installation of the APCS at the Terminal Island facility described in Section 3 (b) shall be verified by documentation submitted to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office within 30 days of the issuance of a permit-to-operate for the APCS. If the expenditures for the equipment are less than 90% of \$1,700,000.00, the entire difference between the total amount of the expenditures and \$1,700,000.000 shall be paid in civil penalties to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office at that time.
- 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT AND REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT

Within thirty (30) calendar days of entry of the Court's Order approving of this First Amended Consent Judgment, SA Recycling, on behalf of itself and Sims, shall pay the following:

- (a) Two Hundred and Forty Thousand Dollars (\$240,000.00) as civil penalties for the violations alleged in the Complaint. Payment shall be made to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office. The check shall identify the funds as civil penalties and will include the case number;
- (b) A total of \$20,000 as reimbursement to the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, for the costs of the investigation related to this matter and the allegations contained in the Complaint;
- (c) A total of \$ 413,640 as reimbursement to the DTSC for the costs of the investigation related to this matter and the allegations contained in the Complaint.

Payment shall be made to: Cashier, Accounting, Department of Toxic Substances Control, P.O. Box 806, Sacramento CA 95812-0806. The check shall bear on its face the Case Number 913450 and shall identify the funds as reimbursement of investigatory costs;

- (d) A total of \$15,000 for the purchase and maintenance of enforcement tools such as video surveillance, tracking, location, or other similar devices for the DTSC Office of Criminal Investigations. Payment shall be made to: Cashier, Accounting, Department of Toxic Substances Control, P.O. Box 806, Sacramento CA 95812-0806. The check shall bear on its face the Case Number 913450 and shall identify the purpose of the funds.
- (e) A sum of \$100,000 to the College of Engineering at the University of California at Davis to support aerosol studies performed by the DELTA Group;
- (f) A total of \$75,000 for projects sponsored by the Coalition For A Safe Environment that are approved by DTSC. Funding shall be provided to the DTSC to be disbursed to the Coalition For A Safe Environment for projects approved by DTSC. Payment shall be made to: Cashier, Accounting, Department of Toxic Substances Control, P.O. Box 806, Sacramento CA 95812-0806. The check shall bear on its face the Case Number 913450 and shall identify the funds as dedicated for disbursement to the Coalition. For A Safe Environment pursuant to this settlement;
- (g) A total of \$165,000 to assist the marina owners/operators of the eleven marinas in the Wilmington District of the Port of Los Angeles_(\$15,000 per marina) to target water and sediment pollution sources, as identified in the Port of Los Angeles and the Port of Long Beach Water Resources Action Plan. Funding shall be provided to the Port of Los Angeles to be disbursed to individual marinas for appropriate projects to reduce water pollution;
- (h) A total of \$50,000 to the Port of Los Angeles for a "skimmer program" to clean trash in the Los Angeles port waters;
 - (i) A total of \$20,000 to Heal the Bay;

- A total of \$20,000 to the Marine Mammal Care Center;
- A total of \$25,000 to Santa Monica Baykeeper; and
- A total of \$25,000 to Orange County Coastkeeper.

ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS BY SA RECYCLING

- after entry of the Court's order approving of this First Amended Consent Judgment, it will purchase two Thermo Scientific Niton XL2 Series x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyzers or equivalent models and deliver one each to the Los Angeles County Fire Department Health HAZMAT Division, c/o Fernando Florez, Supervising Haz-Mat Specialist, Investigations Unit, and the Los Angeles District Attorney, Consumer Protection Division, Environmental Law Section, c/o District Attorney Bureau of Investigation. The cost for the XRF analyzers shall be verified by documentation submitted to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office at the time of the delivery of the XRF analyzer. If the expenditure for the XRF analyzers is less than 90% of \$60,000, the difference between the total amount of the expenditure and \$60,000 shall be paid in civil penalties to the Los Angeles County District
- SA Recycling also agrees that within ninety (90) calendar days after entry of the Court's order approving of this First Amended Consent Judgment, it will purchase and deliver a White's XLT Metal Detector to the Los Angeles County Fire Department, Health HAZMAT Division. The cost of which is approximately \$1,175.
- SA Recycling also agrees that pursuant to the schedule approved by the South Coast Air Quality Management District, it will install an APCS at the SA Recycling facility located in Anaheim, California designed to have control efficiency of no less than 95% for PM10 and shall include an RTO approved by the District.
- SA Recycling also agrees that, within 1 year after entry of the Court's order approving of this First Amended Consent Judgment, it will install an APCS at the SA Recycling facility located in Kern County, California designed to have a control

-6-

SA Recycling agrees to certify the air pollution control equipment specified Section 3 (b) at its Terminal Island facility as follows:

- (a) Submit written notice of the source test of the APCS to the District Engineer at least 14 days prior to the source test date so that an observer from the District may be present.
- (b) Conduct the source test in accordance with the conditions set forth in the permit-to-construct issued by the District, unless otherwise approved in writing by the District.
- (c) Submit two complete copies of the source test report to the District Engineer and a copy to Deputy District Attorney Daniel Wright within sixty (60) days after the source test date.

7. RETURN OF ALL DOCUMENTS

The People, including DTSC, shall return all documents and records, including electronic documents and records, seized during the August 20, 2008 execution of the search warrant at SA Recycling facilities located at Terminal Island in Los Angeles and Anaheim, California within sixty (60) calendar days after entry of the Court's order approving of this First Amended Consent Judgment.

8. PERMANENT INJUNCTION

(a) Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, and the Court's equitable powers, Defendant SA Recycling, LLC shall be permanently enjoined from operating the hammer mill mega-shredder shredder at the Terminal Island facility without fully functioning Air Pollution Control System operated in compliance with the conditions of the South Coast Air Quality Management District permit or other written authorization issued by the District. Failure to comply with this Final Judgment and the specific additional injunctive provisions may subject Defendant SA Recycling, LLC to sanctions, including but not limited to contempt and additional penalties in this action as well as any separate enforcement action that may be brought.

-7-

- (b) The injunctive provisions contained in this First Amended Consent Judgment are applicable to Defendant SA Recycling and its successors or assignees, officers, directors, employees, representatives, or agents acting by, through, under, or on behalf of Defendant, with actual or constructive knowledge of this Stipulation and the First Amended Consent Judgment. Any alleged violation of the Permanent Injunction and First Amended Consent Judgment shall be considered separate and in addition to, and not a bar to, any violation of California statutory or regulatory requirements and to any other remedies or sanctions provided by statute, ordinance, or regulation.
- 9. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FIRST AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT
- (a) This First Amended Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all "Covered Matters" against SA Recycling or Sims and each Defendant's respective successors, heirs, assigns, officers, directors, employees, representatives and agents. As used in this First Amended Consent Judgment, Covered Matters or Covered Matter means any claim or allegation in the Complaint. The People agree not to pursue any further claims against the Defendants, or either of them, for any Covered Matter. This Section does not limit the ability of the People to enforce this First Amended Consent Judgment.
- (b) Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any unknown violation, any violation that occurs after February 25, 2011. The Parties reserve the right to pursue any Reserved Claim and to defend against any Reserved Claim.
- (c) Any claims or causes of action against Defendants for performance of cleanup, corrective action, or response action for any actual past or future releases, spills, or disposals of hazardous waste or hazardous substances that were caused or contributed to by Defendants are not Covered Matters.

(d) In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any Reserved Claim, Defendants agree that it will not assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting.

10. PEOPLE ARE NOT LIABLE

The Defendants covenant not to sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against the People or agencies of the County of Los Angeles or State of California, or their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys arising out of or related to any Covered Matter, except for the purpose of enforcing or responding to obligations under this First Amended Consent Judgment.

11. LEGAL OBLIGATIONS

Nothing in this First Amended Consent Judgment relieves Defendant SA Recycling from the obligation to obtain all necessary permits, entitlements and authorizations, or from any obligations it has under law, statute, regulation or ordinance, permitting authority or other authority. Nothing in this First Amended Consent Judgment shall excuse Defendant SA Recycling from meeting any more stringent requirements effected by changes in law, statutes, regulations or ordinances. Where SA Recycling's obligations under this First Amended Consent Judgment require it to obtain additional permits, entitlements or authorizations, SA Recycling shall exercise due diligence in obtaining such permits, entitlements or authorizations.

12. INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties. The Parties agree that the rule of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

Pursuant to Evidence Code sections 1119-23, the parties specifically agree that: (1) this settlement agreement is admissible as evidence and subject to disclosure in enforcement proceedings; (2) this settlement agreement is binding and enforceable; (3) all of the material terms of the settlement are set forth herein; (4) this agreement is enforceable under C.C.P. section 664.6, and the court, upon motion of either party, may enter judgment

pursuant to the terms hereof; (5) neither party shall oppose a motion under C.C.P. section 664.6 to enter judgment pursuant to the terms of this settlement agreement on the ground that this agreement is confidential or otherwise privileged; and (6) all parties specifically waive the mediation privilege and any other confidentiality privilege that may apply to this agreement for purposes of its enforcement in a court of law; (7) the Court retains jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce this Judgment until performance in full of its terms. 13. **COUNTERPART SIGNATURES** This Stipulation may be executed by the Parties in counterpart, and when a copy is signed by an authorized representative of each Party, the Stipulation shall be effective as if a single document were signed by all Parties.

1	STEVE COOLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Dated:
2	By: Dane / Wright	8/11/2011
3	Daniel I Wright	· <u>4107.9.</u> 11
4	Deputy District Attorney	
5	SA RECYCLING, LLC.	Dated:
6	SA RECTCLING, LLC.	Dated:
7	Ву:	
8	George Adams, President	
9	SA Recycling, LLC.	•
10	SIMSMETAL WEST LLC	Dated:
11	Ву:	·
12	Scott Miller, Assistant Secretary	
13	Simsmetal West LLC	
14	Simismetal West ELC	
15	PAUL HASTINGS LLP	Dated:
16	By Court Inform	8/15/11
17	Robert P. Hoffman, Esq.	
18	Attorney for SA Recycling, LLC and	
19	Simsmetal West LLC	
20	Smisinour wost 220	;
21		
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED:	Í
24	Dated:, 2011	
25	Judge of the Superi	
26	Los Angeles Count	y superior Court
247 228		İ
28	-11-	!

1	STEVE COOLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Dated:	
2	Ву:		
3	Daniel J. Wright		
4	Deputy District Attorney	,	
5	SA RECYCLING LIC	Dated:	
6	SA RECT CELLIGEER	bated.	
7	Ву:	4/15/14	
8	George Adams, President		
9	SA Recycling, LLC.		
10	SIMSMETAL WEST LLC	Dated:	
11	Ву:		
12	Scott Miller, Assistant Secretary		
13	Simsmetal West LLC		
14			
15	PAUL HASTINGS LLP	Dated:	
16	Ву:		
17	Robert P. Hoffman, Esq.		
18	Attorney for SA Recycling, LLC and		
19	Simsmetal West LLC		
20			
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
23	11 13 30 ORDERED.		
24	Dated:, 2011		
25	Judge of the Sup Los Angeles Co	perior Court unty Superior Court	
26			
27. 28.			
48	-11-		

ł			
1	STEVE COOLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Dated:	
2	Ву:		
3	Daniel J. Wright		
4	Deputy District Attorney		
5	SA RECYCLING, LLC.	Dated:	
6	on about this to the state of t	Ducou.	
7	Ву:		
8	George Adams, President		
9	SA Recycling, LLC.		
10	SIMSMETAL WEST LLC	Dated:	
11	By: Wall	8/15/11	
12	Scott Miller, Assistant Secretary		
13	Simsmetal West LLC		
14			
15	PAUL HASTINGS LLP	Dated:	
16	Ву:		
17	Robert P. Hoffman, Esq.		
18	Attorney for SA Recycling, LLC and		
19	Simsmetal West LLC		
20			
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
23			
24	Dated:, 2011		
25 26	Judge of the Sup Los Angeles Co	unty Superior Court	
26 27			
27 28			
40 *	-11-		